UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,324	09/15/2003 Harold D. Beck		03-11	3791
30699 DAYCO PROD	7590 10/01/200 OUCTS, LLC	EXAMINER		
1 PRESTIGE P	LACE	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
MIAMISBURG	л, ОП 43342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/663,324	BECK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1794		

	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(t)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor		,
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,8,10,21-25,27 and 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ul>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments in claim 24 (lines 1 and 10) present new issues that require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, new claims 29 and 30 present new issues that require further consideration and/or search because the particular combinations of the subject matter of claims 29 and 24, and the subject matter of claims 30 and 10, have not been presented until this after-final amendment.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the amendment after the non-final rejection did not necessitate the new grounds of rejection, and therefore that the previous Office Action should not have been made final, but Applicant's deletion of "essentially" from the phrase "consisting essentially of" from independent claims 1 and 10 in the amendment after the non-final rejection necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 10, 21-24, 27 and 28 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The rejection of record is maintained for the reasons of record.